In re: Robert P. Freese et al. Application No.: 10/661,917 Filed: September 11, 2003

Page 11 of 11

REMARKS

In response to the Restriction Requirement of June 15, 2006, Applicants hereby elect Invention I, corresponding to Claims 1-47, drawn to a method. Applicants have canceled Invention II, corresponding to Claims 48-63, drawn to a system, and Invention III, corresponding to Claims 64-105, drawn to a product. This cancellation is being made without prejudice to the filing of one or more divisional applications for these claims.

Applicants are not traversing the restriction requirement, because Applicants agree that unpatentability of Invention I would not necessarily imply unpatentability of Inventions II or III.

The title has been changed to conform to cancellation of Claims 48-105.

In view of the above, Applicants respectfully request favorable examination and allowance of Claims 1-47.

Respectfully submitted

Mitchell S. Bigel

Registration No. 29,614 Attorney for Applicants

Customer Number 20792

Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec, P.A. P.O. Box 37428 Raleigh, NC 27627

919-854-1400

919-854-1401 (Fax)

CERTIFICATION OF ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 CFR § 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted electronically to the U.S. Patent and

Trademark Office on June 23, 2006.

Susan E. Freedman

Date of Signature: June 23, 2006